

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. Yaguchi-0012 2186 09/676,367 09/29/2000 Yoshiaki Yokoyama EXAMINER 22850 07/22/2004 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. RINEHART, KENNETH

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

3749

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/676,367	YOKOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth B Rinehart	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>see attached</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see attached.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		
,		KONNETH RINEHART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/676,367

Art Unit: 3749

Examination on the merits has ended. It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims or add new claims after a final rejection (37 CFR 1.116). In the response the applicant has added language regarding a "vacuum pump" and submits that the "amendment will not require any additional searching or consideration by the examiner and do not present new matter or issues". The examiner respectfully disagrees. The addition of the limitation raises new issues that would require further consideration or search, since a more detailed review of vacuum pump technology is required. Additionally, the applicant has failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the amendment is necessary and was not earlier introduced (37 CFR 1.116(b)). Therefore, the amendment will not be entered. Regarding the applicant's statement regarding the claims which were rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, this rejection has been overcome, since the applicant has provided support for a "vacuum state" with references to the specification.